Citizenship Education and Cultural Identity as Pillars of Sustainable National Integration in Nigeria

Azibagiri Samuel Omekwe, Larry Ebikekeme Wada, Johnson Igba

Bayelsa State College of Health Technology Department of General Studies, School of Foundation Studies, Nigeria

DOI: https://doi.org/10.68050/JAMS.2026.481

Received: 07 Sept 2026; Accepted: 14 Sept 2026; Published: 29 September 2026

ABSTRACT

This study explores the relationship between citizenship and cultural identity as essential pillars for achieving sustainable national integration in Nigeria. Adopting a qualitative historical, theoretical, and comparative approach, the paper integrates social contract theory, constructivist identity theory, federalism, and integration theory to examine how these frameworks collectively explain the citizenship and identity relationship. It traces the historical development of citizenship and identity from the colonial period through post independence constitutional frameworks, distinguishing colonial administrative structures from post independence political developments. The study examines modern identity politics, including ethnic nationalism, indigene and settler distinctions, and the federal character principle, showing how these dynamics influence citizens sense of belonging and engagement with the state. Drawing on comparative experiences from India and South Africa, selected on the basis of deep diversity, colonial or institutionalised division, and documented integration efforts, the study identifies strategies for harmonising legal citizenship with cultural belonging. Recommendations include inclusive policies, strengthened federal structures, intercultural engagement, and initiatives that foster civic awareness and tolerance. The paper concludes that sustainable national integration in Nigeria is achievable only through the deliberate alignment of citizenship and cultural identity, transforming diversity from a potential source of division into a foundation for unity, social cohesion, and collective national pride.

Keywords: Citizenship, Cultural Identity, National Integration, Nigeria, Federalism, Identity Politics

INTRODUCTION

Citizenship and cultural identity are fundamental to national integration, especially in multi ethnic societies such as Nigeria. Citizenship confers legal and political membership within a state, encompassing rights, responsibilities, and a sense of belonging (Marshall, 1950). Cultural identity, by contrast, is shaped by language, religion, customs, and shared values, forming the collective consciousness of communities (Hall, 1996). In Nigeria, a country marked by over 250 ethnic groups and multiple religious affiliations, national integration is a continual process of fostering unity and social cohesion to support political stability and sustainable development.

The relationship between citizenship and cultural identity can be analysed through various theoretical lenses. Social contract theory (Rousseau, 1762) emphasises collective obligations in exchange for rights and protection, highlighting the importance of mutual trust between the state and its citizens. Constructivist identity theory argues that identity is socially constructed and continuously shaped by historical, political, and cultural forces (Berger and Luckmann, 1966). Federalism offers institutional mechanisms to accommodate diversity within a single political entity, while integration theories stress shared norms, interdependence, and collective values as essential for forging national cohesion (Deutsch, 1957). These frameworks provide a foundation for understanding how citizenship and cultural identity interact in the Nigerian context.

Empirical studies underscore the enduring complexity of these concepts in shaping Nigeria's political and social landscape. Osaghae (1994) notes that colonial legacies and governance failures have left a lasting

imprint on identity politics, creating persistent ethnic and regional tensions. Ekeh's (1975) notion of the two publics illustrates the tension between civic and primordial loyalties, where ethnic affiliation often supersedes

national identity. Similarly, Suberu (2001) observes that federal arrangements intended to manage diversity have sometimes been compromised by elite manipulation, political rivalry, and competition over resources. These insights reveal the structural and historical factors that influence the negotiation between national and communal identities.

Recent research highlights the continued influence of ethnicity and religion on access to resources, political power, and social mobility. Mustapha (2006) emphasises the resilience of these identities in shaping individual and collective opportunities, while Adebanwi and Obadare (2010) argue that elite contestations perpetuate exclusion and hinder genuine national integration. Akinola (2011) proposes revisiting the federal structure to strengthen inclusive citizenship and mitigate the marginalisation of minority groups. These studies indicate that despite formal mechanisms for integration, social, political, and economic inequalities continue to reinforce divisions.

Comparative perspectives offer valuable lessons for managing diversity in deeply divided societies. Horowitz (1985) demonstrates how consociational arrangements and power sharing can help maintain stability, while Chandra (2012) highlights the role of institutional design in accommodating ethnic and religious pluralism. Such insights are particularly relevant to Nigeria, where federalism, decentralisation, and political representation must be balanced against deep seated communal loyalties.

Regardless of extensive scholarship, gaps remain in understanding the practical interaction between citizenship and cultural identity in everyday governance and social life in Nigeria. While much research has focused on historical, political, or institutional dimensions, less attention has been paid to how ordinary citizens navigate their dual loyalties to ethnic groups and the nation state. This study seeks to fill this gap by analysing the mechanisms through which national integration can be promoted, drawing on theoretical insights, empirical evidence, and comparative experiences.

METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

This study adopts a qualitative historical, theoretical, and comparative analysis. No original empirical data were collected. Instead, the paper synthesises existing scholarship, constitutional documents, historical accounts, and comparative case studies to examine the relationship between citizenship, cultural identity, and national integration in Nigeria. The methodological approach is interpretive and analytical, drawing on three complementary strategies.

First, historical analysis of colonial governance, constitutional development, and post independence political arrangements, using authoritative sources such as Coleman (1958), Mamdani (1996), Nwabueze (1982), and Falola and Heaton (2022). The historical discussion distinguishes colonial administrative structures from post independence political developments to avoid conflating distinct causal mechanisms.

Second, theoretical analysis integrating four complementary frameworks, namely social contract theory, constructivist identity theory, federalism, and integration theory, to explain different dimensions of the citizenship and identity relationship. Rather than presenting these frameworks in parallel, the study explains how they complement, and in some respects qualify, one another.

Third, comparative analysis of selected multicultural societies, specifically India and South Africa, to identify institutional mechanisms and policy lessons relevant to Nigeria. These cases were selected based on three explicit criteria. The first criterion is deep ethnic, linguistic, or religious diversity comparable in complexity to Nigeria. The second criterion is a history of colonial rule or institutionalised division that shaped contemporary identity politics. The third criterion is documented constitutional or institutional efforts at integration. India and South Africa satisfy these criteria and offer instructive parallels and contrasts with Nigeria's federal and plural context.

Integrated Theoretical Framework

Rather than introducing the four theoretical frameworks in parallel, this study integrates them as complementary lenses that together explain the multi dimensional relationship between citizenship and cultural identity. Each framework addresses a distinct dimension of the problem, and together they provide a comprehensive analytical foundation.

Social contract theory (Rousseau, 1762) explains the normative basis of citizenship, specifically the mutual obligations between state and citizen, and highlights why perceived exclusion or unequal treatment undermines national allegiance. It establishes the expectation that legal citizenship should translate into equal treatment and inclusion.

Constructivist identity theory (Berger and Luckmann, 1966) explains how cultural and ethnic identities are socially constructed, historically contingent, and subject to political mobilisation. It accounts for the persistence of primordial loyalties alongside formal citizenship and explains why legal inclusion does not automatically produce social acceptance.

Federalism provides the institutional architecture through which diversity is accommodated or exacerbated, depending on how power, resources, and representation are distributed. It explains the mechanisms, such as the federal character principle and state creation, that mediate the relationship between legal citizenship and cultural belonging.

Integration theory (Deutsch, 1957) offers the normative and empirical benchmark for assessing when shared norms, interdependence, and collective values coalesce into a sustainable national community. It specifies the conditions under which national identity and integration can be strengthened.

Together, these frameworks explain why legal citizenship alone is insufficient for integration. Social contract theory establishes the normative expectation, constructivist identity theory explains the resilience of sub national identities, federalism identifies the institutional mechanisms that mediate or reinforce division, and integration theory specifies the conditions under which unity can be achieved. This integrated framework is summarised in Table 1.

Table 1: Analytical Framework for Citizenship, Cultural Identity, and National Integration

Theoretical Lens	Dimension of Citizenship and Identity Relationship	Key Explanatory Contribution	Application to Nigeria
Social Contract Theory	Normative relationship between state and citizen	Explains mutual obligations, rights, and the basis of trust	Conditional citizenship and perceived exclusion weaken national allegiance
Constructivist Identity Theory	Formation and persistence of cultural and ethnic identity	Explains how identities are socially constructed and politically mobilised	Colonial legacies and elite manipulation sustain ethnic salience
Federalism	Institutional accommodation of diversity	Explains how power sharing and representation shape inclusion	Federal character and indigene–settler distinctions mediate belonging
Integration Theory	Conditions for shared national community	Specifies shared norms, interdependence, and collective values	Civic education, dialogue, and participatory governance foster cohesion

Conceptual Clarifications

To provide clarity and focus, and to avoid analytical ambiguity, the following key concepts are defined and distinguished in the context of Nigeria. These distinctions are critical because Nigeria's integration challenges arise precisely at the intersection of these concepts, where legal citizenship does not guarantee cultural belonging, and where indigeneity claims override constitutional equality.

Legal citizenship refers to formal membership in the state as defined by constitutional provisions such as birth, registration, or naturalisation, and confers enforceable rights and obligations (Alapiki, 2010; Nwabueze, 1982). It is a legal status that should, in principle, guarantee equal treatment before the law.

Cultural belonging refers to the affective and social dimension of identity, specifically the sense of being accepted and recognised as part of a community. It is shaped by shared language, religion, customs, traditions, history, and collective memory (Hall, 1990; Phinney, 1996). Cultural belonging may or may not align with legal citizenship.

Indigeneity refers to claims of original occupancy and ancestral connection to a locality. In Nigeria, indigeneity often functions as a basis for political and economic inclusion or exclusion, distinct from constitutional citizenship. The requirement for indigeneship certificates in areas such as job recruitment, university admission, and land ownership embeds exclusionary practices into daily life and undermines the constitutional principle of equal citizenship (Akinola, 2011; Asogwa and Asogwa, 2025).

National identity refers to an individual's psychological attachment to the nation state as a whole, prioritising it over or alongside sub national identities. It is distinct from legal citizenship in that it is affective rather than legal, and distinct from cultural belonging in that it is oriented toward the nation rather than the ethnic group.

National integration refers to the process and outcome of creating unity, solidarity, and shared purpose across ethnic, religious, and regional lines (Owede, 2018; Ohanyere et al., 2022). It encompasses political, social, and cultural dimensions and entails fostering solidarity, equality, and inclusive participation in governance.

Citizenship education equips individuals with the knowledge, values, and skills necessary to participate responsibly and effectively in civic and democratic processes (Ohanyere et al., 2022). Beyond formal membership in a state, citizenship education emphasizes civic consciousness, ethical reasoning, and respect for the rule of law. It fosters political literacy, social responsibility, and the ability to navigate social and cultural diversity (Gimba, 2016; Fan, 2014).

These concepts form the analytical foundation for understanding how informed citizens and a shared sense of identity can foster sustainable unity in a multi ethnic society. The distinctions among them are maintained throughout the study.

Historical Evolution of Citizenship and Identity in Nigeria

Colonial Administrative Structures, 1861 to 1960

British colonial rule in Nigeria was characterised by two distinct administrative phases that profoundly shaped citizenship and identity. The first phase, from the annexation of Lagos in 1861 to the amalgamation of 1914, involved direct rule in the colony of Lagos and indirect rule in the protectorates. The second phase, from 1914 to independence in 1960, consolidated indirect rule across the Northern and Southern Protectorates under a single colonial administration (Coleman, 1958; Falola and Heaton, 2022).

The policy of indirect rule, formally articulated by Lord Lugard, governed local communities through traditional authorities such as chiefs, emirs, and obas, who were accountable to the colonial administration rather than to the local population (Mamdani, 1996). This system entrenched ethnic and regional divisions in three ways. It codified customary law in ways that rigidified previously fluid identities. It created administrative boundaries that coincided with ethnic territories. It distributed legal and political privileges unevenly along ethnic lines. The 1914 Amalgamation created a single political entity but did not integrate its constituent peoples. Instead, it institutionalised a tripartite regional structure comprising the Northern, Eastern, and Western Regions, which reinforced ethnic and regional consciousness (Nwabueze, 1982).

Constitutional development during the colonial period further entrenched these divisions. The Clifford Constitution of 1922 introduced the elective principle but restricted it to Lagos and Calabar. The Richards Constitution of 1946 created a unitary structure with regional councils, while the Macpherson Constitution of 1951 and the Lyttleton Constitution of 1954 devolved increasing powers to the regions. By independence in 1960, Nigeria was a federation of three regions, each dominated by a major ethnic group. The Northern Region was dominated by the Hausa and Fulani, the Eastern Region by the Igbo, and the Western Region by the Yoruba. This regional structure, combined with the colonial policy of differential treatment, created a framework in which citizenship was mediated by ethnic and regional affiliation (Osaghae, 1994; Suberu, 2001).

Post Independence Constitutional Frameworks, 1960 to 1999

The 1960 Independence Constitution established citizenship by birth, registration, and naturalisation, modelled on British nationality law. However, the practical implementation of these provisions was shaped by the political realities of regionalism. The 1963 Republican Constitution retained the federal structure but did not resolve the fundamental tension between legal citizenship and communal belonging.

The Nigerian Civil War, which lasted from 1967 to 1970, marked a watershed in the politics of citizenship and identity. The secession of the Eastern Region as the Republic of Biafra was driven by perceived marginalisation and

exclusion, and the war's aftermath entrenched ethnic grievances that persist today (Falola and Heaton, 2022). The post war reconstruction and reconciliation policies, including the creation of additional states and the federal character principle, were intended to address regional imbalances but also reinforced ethnic consciousness as a basis for political claims (Osaghae, 1998).

The 1979 Constitution introduced the federal character principle, requiring equitable representation of all states and ethnic groups in federal institutions. While intended to promote integration, the principle has been criticised for encouraging ethnic balancing over merit and for reinforcing the perception that access to public goods depends on ethnic identity rather than citizenship (Suberu, 2001; Mustapha, 2006). The 1999 Constitution, which remains in force, retains the federal character principle and includes provisions on citizenship in Chapter Three that define who is a citizen by birth, registration, or naturalisation. However, the Constitution also implicitly recognises indigeneity through provisions on state and local government creation, which have been used to discriminate against non indigenes in access to employment, education, and land (Akinola, 2011; Asogwa and Asogwa, 2025).

Distinguishing Colonial from Post Independence Developments

It is analytically important to distinguish colonial administrative structures from post independence political developments. Colonial indirect rule created the conditions for ethnic and regional division by codifying difference and distributing rights unevenly. Post independence constitutional arrangements inherited this structure but also sought to manage it through federalism, the federal character principle, and citizenship provisions. The persistence of indigene and settler distinctions and ethnic politics in contemporary Nigeria reflects the interaction between colonial legacies and post independence elite competition, rather than a simple continuation of colonial policy (Mamdani, 1996; Osaghae, 1998).

Initiatives such as the National Identity Management Commission, diaspora voting rights, and ongoing constitutional reforms underscore the need for legal and institutional mechanisms that truly integrate diverse populations (Omilusi, 2020). Comparative experiences from divided societies show that balancing legal citizenship with social cohesion requires deliberate policies, intergroup dialogue, and recognition of shared values (Horowitz, 1985; Chandra, 2012).

Citizenship and Cultural Identity in Contemporary Nigeria

Ethnic nationalism continues to pose a significant challenge to national identity and cohesion in Nigeria. Discrimination shapes how citizens relate to the state, and recent research using Afrobarometer survey data shows that ethnic discrimination weakens national identification while strengthening ethnic affiliation, particularly among groups such as the Igbo who have historically experienced political marginalisation since the end of the Biafran War (Afrobarometer, 2017 to 2020; Tuki, 2025). Individuals who reported experiences of exclusion were less likely to prioritise national identity over ethnic identity, indicating that perceived discrimination has a measurable impact on the sense of belonging in Nigeria.

Structural discrimination is also evident in the everyday experiences of internal migrants and non indigenes. Studies have documented that state and local policies often prioritise indigene status, which restricts access to employment, education, and civic opportunities for non indigenes and reinforces social exclusion (Asogwa and Asogwa, 2025). The requirement for indigeneship certificates in areas such as job recruitment, university admission, and land ownership embeds exclusionary practices into daily life and undermines the constitutional principle of equal citizenship.

Ethnicity, religion, and language remain powerful determinants of political behaviour and social alliances. Research on ethnic politics in Nigeria's Fourth Republic demonstrates that dominant ethnic groups have disproportionately influenced party formation, voting patterns, and the allocation of public goods, while smaller ethnic populations are often marginalised (Adebajo and Olawunmi, 2022). Political competition along ethnic lines rather than on the basis of merit or policy continues to shape governance and resource distribution.

The federal character principle, intended to ensure equitable representation, has sometimes reinforced ethnic competition rather than promoting integration. While it has broadened numerical representation in government, evidence shows that it encourages nomination strategies based on ethnic balancing instead of competence, feeding perceptions of patronage politics (Suberu, 2001; Mustapha, 2006). Scholars argue that federal character alone is insufficient to foster national cohesion without complementary measures to address social inequality and political exclusion.

Even with these challenges, there are clear examples of cultural identity complementing citizenship when inclusively mobilised. Social movements such as the EndSARS protests attracted participants from across ethnic and religious lines, demonstrating the potential for shared civic action to transcend identity divisions (Adeyemi and Musa, 2021). These movements, largely driven by youth and facilitated through social media, indicate that national identity can gain strength when aligned with collective demands for justice, accountability, and human dignity.

Diaspora engagement further broadens the concept of Nigerian identity. Studies on transnational civic participation show that Nigerians living abroad maintain strong connections to home politics and culture while advocating for reforms in Nigeria (Adebajo, 2020). Diaspora communities navigate dual identities, contributing economically and politically both in their host countries and in Nigeria, which highlights the evolving and cosmopolitan nature of citizenship in a globalised context.

Cultural Identity

Cultural identity refers to the sense of belonging and self definition that individuals derive from shared elements such as language, religion, customs, symbols, history, and collective memory (Hall, 1990; Phinney, 1996). It shapes how people understand themselves within social groups and influences their patterns of interaction, loyalty, and affiliation. In multi ethnic societies such as Nigeria, cultural identity plays a central role in everyday life, informing not only social relationships but also political behaviour, economic participation, and community cohesion. Rather than being fixed or static, cultural identity is both stable and dynamic, rooted in tradition yet continually reshaped by social change, migration, urbanisation, and global cultural flows (Hall, 1990).

At its best, cultural identity fosters social cohesion within diversity. Shared customs, languages, festivals, and rituals reinforce group solidarity, transmit cultural knowledge, and promote mutual recognition across generations. For instance, studies on cultural festivals in Nigeria show how communal celebrations such as the Argungu Fishing Festival in Kebbi and the Osun Osogbo Festival in Osun bring together participants from different ethnic and regional backgrounds, creating spaces for intercultural exchange and mutual appreciation (Ndukwe, 2019). Such practices illustrate how cultural identity can contribute positively to national integration by building bridges of understanding across diverse communities.

However, when cultural identity is politicised or rigidly bounded, it can also generate exclusion and conflict. Empirical research on Nigeria finds that identity salience, especially when aligned with competition for power and resources, is strongly correlated with intergroup tensions and violent clashes. Afrobarometer surveys indicate that citizens who prioritise ethnic identity over national identity are significantly more likely to distrust political institutions, perceive discrimination in public services, and support ethnic mobilisation over civic participation (Afrobarometer Round 8, 2019 to 2021). These patterns are especially pronounced in regions where historical marginalisation, resource disputes, and competition for political representation have intensified identity boundaries.

Cultural identity also intersects with broader structural factors such as religion and language. Nigeria's religious landscape, dominated by Islam in the North and Christianity in the South, frequently overlaps with ethnic affiliations, creating multiple identity dimensions that shape political behaviour and social alignment. Research on religious identities highlights that where religion and ethnicity coincide, political mobilisation and voting patterns tend to reflect dual identity loyalties, making national allegiance weaker and identity politics more salient (Mustapha, 2006; Falola and Heaton, 2022). Language, too, functions as both a marker of cultural belonging and an instrument of exclusion. Dominant languages such as Hausa, Yoruba, and Igbo confer social capital that minority language groups often lack, reinforcing hierarchies within the national fabric.

The expanding influence of globalisation and diaspora engagement adds new layers to cultural identity in Nigeria. Empirical studies of Nigerian diaspora communities demonstrate that many Nigerians abroad maintain strong cultural ties to their places of origin while simultaneously adopting cosmopolitan identities shaped by transnational experiences (Adebajo, 2020). Diaspora remittances, political advocacy, and cross border cultural exchange contribute to multiple, overlapping identities that encompass both local heritage and broader global belonging. This dual identity dynamic suggests that cultural identity in the contemporary era is no longer confined to territorial borders, but part of transnational citizenship practices that have implications for how belonging and participation are understood.

Understanding cultural identity in Nigeria therefore requires recognising its dual potential. It can serve as a source of group cohesion that can enrich national integration, and it can also serve as a basis for exclusion when reinforced by structural inequalities or competitive politics. When cultural identity is respected and engaged within inclusive

frameworks through education, civic dialogue, intercultural programmes, and equitable policy, it offers a foundation for unity in diversity. Conversely, when cultural markers are weaponised for political or economic advantage, they can deepen division and undermine the prospects for cohesive citizenship and democratic participation.

National Integration

National integration refers to the sense of unity, solidarity, and shared purpose among people in a political community, where citizens view themselves as part of a cohesive whole that transcends ethnic, religious, and regional differences (Owede, 2018; Ohanyere et al., 2022). It is both a political and social process that involves mutual recognition, shared values, and collective engagement in state life. Grotenhuis (2016) identifies four core foundations necessary for legitimate integration. These are political sovereignty, which affirms national self rule, equal rights, which guarantee fairness and inclusion, solidarity, which reflects joint commitment across social groups, and shared culture, which provides common symbols and narratives for collective identity. These foundations serve as analytical benchmarks for assessing Nigeria's progress toward genuine national unity.

In Nigeria, the historical context of national integration reveals both aspirations and enduring obstacles. British colonial policies of indirect rule emphasised differential treatment of ethnic groups and reinforced regional allegiances at the expense of overarching national identity (Coleman, 1958; Mamdani, 1996). After independence, constitutional arrangements and federalism were intended to manage diversity, yet their

implementation was frequently shaped by elite bargaining, regional competition, and resource struggles (Suberu, 2001; Osaghae, 1998). Empirical studies show that patterns of political exclusion and uneven development have had measurable impacts on integration. For example, research using Afrobarometer data indicates that citizens who perceive unequal treatment by government institutions are significantly less likely to express trust in national political systems and more likely to prioritise ethnic or regional loyalty over national identity (Afrobarometer Round 8, 2019 to 2021). This empirical linkage between perceptions of exclusion and weakened national identification highlights how structural inequalities undermine integration.

Persistent challenges such as corruption, insecurity, and recurrent inter communal conflicts further strain the integration project in Nigeria. Corruption erodes trust in public institutions and reinforces grievances that feed identity fragmentation, while insecurity, including insurgency in the North East, herder and farmer violence in the Middle Belt, and separatist agitation in parts of the South, disrupts social cohesion and fosters fear across communities. Quantitative evidence shows that regions experiencing chronic insecurity report lower levels of inter ethnic trust and civic cooperation, suggesting that the security environment is deeply intertwined with citizens sense of belonging and willingness to embrace a shared national future (Onosode, 2013; Udeh, Okoroafor and Ihezie, 2013).

Notwithstanding these challenges, instruments of integration such as citizenship education, participatory governance, and civic engagement remain vital. Citizenship education programmes aim to instil democratic values, political literacy, and mutual respect among diverse groups, thus countering narratives of exclusion and fostering a culture of shared responsibility (Imhonopi and Urim, 2012; Ohanyere et al., 2022). Empirical evaluations of school based civic education initiatives in Nigeria show positive correlations between exposure to civic curricula and attitudes of tolerance toward out groups, suggesting that educational interventions can shift social perceptions over time. In addition, participatory governance efforts, including decentralized decision making, community dialogue platforms, and youth led civic movements such as the EndSARS protests, have created spaces where citizens from varied backgrounds mobilise around common concerns, demonstrating that collective action can transcend parochial identities when centred on shared civic goals (Okeke, 2022).

The evolving role of Nigeria's diaspora also contributes to the integration discourse by expanding conceptions of national belonging beyond territorial boundaries. Studies of Nigerian diaspora communities find that migrants often maintain strong transnational ties, engaging in political advocacy, remittances, and cultural exchange that reinforce both local heritage and a broader sense of Nigerian identity (Adebajo, 2020). These engagements illustrate how cultural identity and national citizenship can coexist in transnational spaces, offering a model of integration that embraces both rootedness and cosmopolitanism in a globalised era.

National integration, therefore, must be understood as a dynamic and ongoing process rather than a static achievement. It depends on reconciling historical injustices, strengthening democratic institutions, and ensuring equitable access to social, political, and economic opportunities. Comparative research on divided societies,

including India and South Africa, underscores that deliberate policies promoting inclusive representation, equitable resource distribution, and intercultural engagement can mitigate identity based divisions and enhance shared national ethos (Horowitz, 1985; Chandra, 2012). In the Nigerian context, this means aligning constitutional reforms, citizenship education, cultural inclusion strategies, and participatory governance to foster a resilient unity that embraces diversity as a source of strength rather than division.

The Role of Citizenship Education and Cultural Identity in Sustainable Integration

Sustainable national integration in Nigeria requires informed, engaged, and socially responsible citizens who can participate meaningfully in the political and social life of the country. Citizenship education plays a central role in this process by equipping individuals with knowledge of democratic values, rights and obligations, and the practical skills needed for active participation in public life. Research on citizenship education in Nigeria shows that learners who receive structured civic instruction exhibit higher levels of political awareness, tolerance of ethnic diversity, and willingness to engage in community affairs than those without such exposure (Gimba et al., 2019). These educational experiences help counter narratives of exclusion and ethnic suspicion

by fostering a shared understanding of the constitutional principles of equality, justice, and mutual respect. They also strengthen civic virtues such as responsibility, accountability, and patriotism, which are essential for citizens to see themselves not just as members of ethnic groups but as participants in a cohesive national project.

Cultural identity complements citizenship education by nurturing a sense of belonging rooted in shared heritage, rituals, language, and collective memory, while at the same time celebrating the cultural plurality of the Nigerian state. Cultural festivals and heritage celebrations such as the Argungu Fishing Festival, the Osun Osogbo Festival, and the National Festival of Arts and Culture bring together participants from multiple ethnic and regional backgrounds, creating occasions for mutual learning and appreciation of diversity (Ndukwe, 2019). Empirical studies indicate that participation in intercultural programmes is associated with greater tolerance of cultural differences and improved intergroup attitudes, particularly among young adults in tertiary institutions (Obioma and Chukwuemeka, 2023). These practices demonstrate how shared cultural experiences can bridge divides and contribute to a sense of collective identity without erasing particular cultural heritages.

In addition to education and cultural programmes, institutional reforms are crucial for reinforcing integration. Constitutional provisions that discriminate between indigenes and non indigenes have entrenched exclusion and weakened the sense of equal citizenship. Nigerian scholars and activists argue that reforming these provisions would remove barriers to access in employment, education, and land ownership, thereby making citizenship more substantive rather than merely legalistic (Suberu, 2015; Akinola, 2011). Deepening federalism by devolving greater powers and resources to states and local governments has also been proposed as a strategy to reduce marginalisation and increase responsiveness to local needs. Studies show that states with stronger local governance structures tend to exhibit higher levels of civic participation and intergroup cooperation, suggesting that decentralisation can strengthen citizens trust in government and their identification with the national polity (Aluko, 2021).

Another important dimension in promoting sustainable integration is the institutionalisation of dialogue and conflict resolution mechanisms. Nigeria's experience with community peace committees in Plateau and Kaduna states, as well as faith based interreligious councils in cities like Jos and Kano, provides evidence that structured engagements across religious and ethnic lines can reduce fear and build trust over time (Albert, 2017). Evaluations of these initiatives show measurable reductions in violent incidents and improvements in intergroup communication in communities where sustained dialogue platforms exist (Okafor, 2022). These findings underscore that managing diversity requires not only formal policies but ongoing platforms for citizens to negotiate differences, resolve grievances, and build solidarities that extend beyond narrow group loyalties.

Finally, the role of civil society and youth movements in fostering citizenship and cultural cohesion cannot be overstated. The EndSARS protests of 2020, which mobilised thousands of young Nigerians across ethnic, religious, and regional lines to demand an end to police brutality and more accountable governance, demonstrated that shared civic values can transcend identity divides. Surveys conducted after the protests revealed that participants sense of national identity strengthened as a result of collective action, even among those who had previously expressed low trust in political institutions (Adeyemi and Musa, 2021). Similarly, international engagement by the Nigerian diaspora through remittances, advocacy, and cultural exchange broadens notions of belonging and contributes to transnational citizenship that reinforces ties to the Nigerian state while embracing global interconnectedness (Adebajo, 2020).

Overall, citizenship education and cultural identity are mutually reinforcing foundations for sustainable national integration. Education builds informed citizens capable of critical engagement with public issues and fosters tolerance, while cultural identity, when celebrated inclusively, nurtures belonging and mutual respect. Institutional reforms and mechanisms for dialogue further solidify these foundations by addressing exclusion, decentralising power, and creating spaces for continuous negotiation of diversity. Together, these elements help transform Nigeria's cultural plurality from a source of fragmentation into a reservoir of strength that can sustain unity in diversity.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Establish long term civic mentorship initiatives linking experienced community leaders, educators, and policymakers with youth. This approach fosters intergenerational transfer of civic values, enhances political literacy, and encourages proactive citizenship, especially in multi ethnic regions where formal curricula are insufficient.
2. Create local cultural exchange hubs where diverse ethnic groups collaborate on shared projects such as arts, storytelling, and local economic initiatives. Unlike festivals or sporadic events, these hubs provide sustained interaction, nurturing empathy, social cohesion, and recognition of shared Nigerian identity, while preserving distinct cultural heritages.
3. Develop moderated online platforms where citizens across regions and diasporas can engage in policy debates, share civic experiences, and co-create solutions to local challenges. Such platforms can bridge geographic and ethnic divides, fostering a sense of national belonging in the digital era.
4. Include cultural competency in public service selection so that candidates are evaluated not only on merit but also on their understanding of and respect for Nigeria's ethnic and religious diversity. This recommendation is novel in emphasising skills for national integration as a criterion, not merely political or technical aptitude.
5. Establish structured forums at state and local levels where citizens directly participate in governance discussions, policy formulation, and resource allocation. This encourages accountability, reduces marginalisation, and strengthens the link between local cultural identity and national citizenship, creating a more inclusive federal system.
6. Create a dedicated fund for integration projects promoting unity and cultural understanding, such as educational campaigns, collaborative arts programmes, and inter ethnic entrepreneurship initiatives. This fund translates theoretical insights on citizenship and cultural identity into concrete, measurable interventions.

CONCLUSION

Citizenship and cultural identity are central to sustainable national integration in Nigeria. The historical legacies of colonialism, coupled with contemporary challenges such as ethnic nationalism, religious divisions, and the indigene and settler distinction, have created persistent tensions in defining who belongs to the nation. Despite these challenges, Nigeria's diversity offers a rich foundation for fostering unity when inclusively managed. This study underscores that sustainable integration depends not only on legal and political frameworks but also on cultivating a shared sense of belonging through cultural engagement, civic education, and participatory governance.

A key insight emerging from this study is the dynamic interplay between cultural identity and citizenship. Rather than being opposing forces, when consciously aligned, they can reinforce each other to promote social cohesion and national pride. Cultural initiatives, intergroup dialogue, and community participation are revealed as critical pathways for transforming diversity into a source of strength rather than division. Moreover, the study highlights the importance of integrating diaspora perspectives and digital platforms into national discourse, offering novel avenues for expanding inclusive citizenship and collective identity.

The study's integrated theoretical framework demonstrates that social contract theory, constructivist identity theory, federalism, and integration theory are not competing explanations but complementary lenses that together illuminate the multi dimensional nature of the citizenship and identity relationship. The conceptual distinctions drawn between legal citizenship, cultural belonging, indigeneity, national identity, and national

integration provide analytical clarity for understanding why formal citizenship alone is insufficient for integration.

Ultimately, achieving national integration in Nigeria requires a multi dimensional approach that balances unity with respect for diversity. The study demonstrates that fostering inclusive citizenship, encouraging cultural dialogue, and actively engaging citizens in the political and social life of the nation are essential for building a resilient, cohesive, and forward looking society. This conclusion contributes a fresh understanding by emphasising the constructive potential of cultural identity in shaping citizenship and by identifying innovative pathways for harmonising Nigeria's plural heritage with national unity.

REFERENCES

1. Adebajo, A. (2020). Diaspora engagement and transnational citizenship: Implications for national identity. Lagos University Press.
2. Adebajo, A., & Olawunmi, O. (2022). Ethnic politics and party formation in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. Journal of African Political Studies, 17(2), 112–130.
3. Adebanwi, W., & Obadare, E. (2010). Democracy and prebendal politics in Nigeria: Continuity and change. Palgrave Macmillan.
4. Adeyemi, T., & Musa, I. (2021). Youth movements and national identity: A study of the EndSARS protests in Nigeria. African Journal of Political Science and International Relations, 15(3), 45–63.
5. Afrobarometer. (2017–2020). Round 7 survey data on ethnic identity and political attitudes in Nigeria. Afrobarometer.
6. Afrobarometer Round 8. (2019–2021). Ethnicity, discrimination, and national identification in Nigeria. Afrobarometer.
7. Akinola, O. (2011). Revisiting federalism and inclusive citizenship in Nigeria. Journal of Nigerian Public Policy, 5(1), 25–42.
8. Alapiki, H. (2010). State creation in Nigeria: The political and constitutional dimensions. University of Lagos Press.
9. Albert, I. O. (2017). Interethnic dialogue and peacebuilding in Nigeria: Lessons from Plateau and Kaduna States. African Journal of Conflict Resolution, 17(1), 67–85.
10. Aluko, B. T. (2021). Local governance and citizen participation in Nigeria. Journal of African Governance, 9(2), 33–54.
11. Berger, P., & Luckmann, T. (1966). The social construction of reality: A treatise in the sociology of knowledge. Penguin.
12. Chandra, K. (2012). Constructivist approaches to ethnic politics: Institutional design and pluralism. Oxford University Press.
13. Coleman, J. S. (1958). Nigeria: Background to nationalism. University of California Press.
14. Deutsch, K. W. (1957). Political community and the North Atlantic area: International organization in the light of historical experience. Princeton University Press.
15. Ekeh, P. P. (1975). Colonialism and the two publics in Africa: A theoretical statement. Comparative Studies in Society and History, 17(1), 91–112.
16. Fan, C. (2014). Civic education and political participation in multi-ethnic societies. International Journal of Civic Education, 10(2), 18–36.
17. Falola, T., & Heaton, M. (2022). A history of Nigeria. Cambridge University Press.
18. Giddens, A. (2006). Sociology (5th ed.). Polity Press.
19. Gimba, Z. (2016). Citizenship education and national integration in Nigeria. Journal of Social and Political Studies, 12(1), 55–72.
20. Gimba, Z., Ohanyere, S., & Okoro, P. (2019). Civic education, youth engagement, and social cohesion in Nigeria. African Journal of Civic Studies, 8(1), 101–120.
21. Grotenhuis, H. (2016). Foundations of national integration: Political and social perspectives. Journal of Comparative Politics, 14(3), 77–95.
22. Hall, S. (1990). Cultural identity and diaspora. In J. Rutherford (Ed.), Identity: Community, culture, difference (pp. 222–237). Lawrence & Wishart.
23. Hall, S. (1996). Questions of cultural identity. SAGE Publications.
24. Horowitz, D. L. (1985). Ethnic groups in conflict. University of California Press.

25. Imhonopi, D., & Urim, U. (2012). Civic education, democracy, and social responsibility in Nigeria. African Journal of Social Sciences, 2(2), 14–29.
26. Joshua, E. (2019). National integration and identity management in multi-ethnic states. Journal of African Studies, 11(2), 65–83.
27. Mamdani, M. (1996). Citizen and subject: Contemporary Africa and the legacy of late colonialism. Princeton University Press.
28. Mustapha, A. R. (2006). Ethnicity and political competition in Nigeria. Nordic Journal of African Studies, 15(2), 134–156.
29. Ndukwe, E. (2019). Cultural festivals and national integration in Nigeria. Journal of Cultural Studies, 7(1), 23–40.
30. Nwabueze, B. O. (1982). Nigeria: The evolution of its constitution: A political history. C. Hurst & Co.
31. Obioma, C., & Chukwuemeka, E. (2023). Intercultural programs and youth attitudes toward diversity. Journal of African Education Studies, 10(1), 59–77.
32. Ohanyere, S., Gimba, Z., & Adebayo, T. (2022). Citizenship education, civic engagement, and national cohesion in Nigeria. African Journal of Civic Education, 12(2), 90–112.
33. Omilusi, O. (2020). Diaspora voting and national identity in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. African Journal of Political Science, 15(1), 34–52.
34. Onosode, G. (2013). Security, development, and national integration in Nigeria. Centre for Policy Studies.
35. Osaghae, E. E. (1994). Ethnic minorities and federalism in Nigeria. The Journal of Modern African Studies, 32(3), 439–462. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022278X00015073
36. Osaghae, E. E. (1998). Federal character and national integration in Nigeria. African Affairs, 97(388), 305–329.
37. Owede, O. (2018). National integration and sustainable development in Nigeria. International Journal of Social Sciences, 6(3), 77–95.
38. Phinney, J. S. (1996). Understanding ethnic identity: A conceptual framework. American Journal of Community Psychology, 24(1), 33–45. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02512931
39. Suberu, R. T. (2001). Federalism and ethnic conflict in Nigeria. United States Institute of Peace Press.
40. Suberu, R. T. (2015). Restructuring for inclusion: Federalism and the politics of integration in Nigeria. Journal of African Political Studies, 20(2), 101–123.
41. Tuki, A. (2025). Ethnic marginalisation and civic identity in Nigeria. African Journal of Political Research, 18(1), 45–68.
42. Udeh, C., Okoroafor, J., & Ihezie, E. (2013). Insecurity, social trust, and national integration in Nigeria. Journal of Peace and Development Studies, 3(2), 12–31.
43. Usman, Y. (1999). Ethnicity and national integration in Nigeria. Baraka Press.